

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,601	10/19/2000	Yoshio Miyazaki	7217/62903	7919
7590 07/27/2004			EXAMINER	
JAY H. MAIOLI			CHU, KIM KWOK	
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			2653	Q
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004	<i>۵</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/692,601	MIYAZAKI, YOSHIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Kim-Kwok CHU	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a req. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Pre-	-Amendment filed on 5/4/0	1 (paper 5).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/e	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	, , ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7</u>. 	6) Other:					

09/692,601 AU 2653

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- (a) in claim 1, line 7, the term "in an internal memory" should be changed to --in an internal memory of the recording medium-- as disclosed in the specification, on page 5, lines 3-5 and Fig. 10);
- (b) similarly, in claim 1, lines 12 and 16, both terms "said internal memory of said recording means" should be changed to --said internal memory of said recording medium-- respectively as disclosed in the specification, on page 5, lines 3-5 and Fig. 10); and
- (c) furthermore, in claim 1, line 12, the term "recording medium said internal memory" should be changed to --recording medium into said internal memory--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

page 3

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (a) as in claim 5, lines 2 and 3, the term "in said control table, the digital audio data is paired with the retrieval information" is not clear because the audio data should not be written in the control table; and
- (b) similarly, in claim 6, lines 2 and 3, the term "in said control table, the digital audio data is paired with the retrieval information" is not clear because the audio data should not be written in the control table.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on
an application for patent by another filed in the
United States before the invention thereof by the
applicant for patent, or on an international
application by another who has fulfilled the
requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of
section 371(c) of this title before the invention
thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawamura et al. (U.S. Patent 6,075,920).

Kawamura teaches a recording apparatus having all of the elements and means recited in claim 1. For example, Kuroda teaches the following:

- (a) as in claim 1, reproduction means 5-7 to reproduce digital data from a recording medium 2 recorded with the digital data and with retrieval information (Figs. 1, 3 and 10; column 1, lines 17-20; retrieval information is the subcode such as copyright data);
- (b) as in claim 1, recording means 18 for writing the digital data reproduced by the reproduction means 5-7 and the retrieval information (subcode) on a control table in an internal memory (Fig. 1; subcode is recorded as a control/management table in the medium; column 18, lines 59-65);

(c) as in claim 1, a control circuit 33 for searching the control table (TOC table) by using the retrieval information when the digital data from the reproduction means is written by the recording means 18 (Figs. 2 and 3; column 17, lines 45-48);

- (d) as in claim 1, the control circuit 33 permits the writing of the digital data recorded on the recording medium 2 into the internal memory of the recording means (medium) when the retrieval information is not already recorded in the control table (Figs. 2, 19 and 20; recording is permitted if the copyright subcode is not presented); and
- (e) as in claim 1, for prohibiting the writing of the digital data recorded on the recording medium into the internal memory of the recording means when the retrieval information is already recorded on the control table (Figs. 2, 19 and 20; recording is not permitted if the copyright subcode is presented).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamura et al. (U.S. Patent 6,075,920) in view of Jones (U.S. Patent 5,581,740) and Takenaka (U.S. Patent 5,943,311).

Kawamura teaches a recording and/or reproducing apparatus very similar to that of the instant invention. For example, Kawamura teaches the following:

- (a) as in claim 2, a drive device 5-7 to reproduce digital audio data from a recording medium 2 recorded with the digital audio data (Fig. 1, drive device 6 reproduce audio data);
- (b) as in claim 2, a disk drive device 19 written with the digital audio data reproduced from the recording medium 2 by the drive device 22 (Fig. 1);
- (c) as in claim 2, a control table containing retrieval information for the recording medium written with the digital

09/692,601 page 7 AU 2653

audio data in the disk drive device 19 from among a plurality recording mediums (Fig. 1; the retrieval information is the subcode recorded in recording medium 19 which has a plurality of recording medium/layers; column 7, lines 13-15);

- (d) as in claim 2, a control circuit 33 to search the control table (subcode/TOC table of contents) by using the retrieval information when the digital data from the reproduction means 6-7 is written by the recording means 18 (Fig. 2);
- (e) as in claim 2, the control circuit 33 permits the writing of digital audio data recorded on the recording medium 2 with the recording means 18 when the retrieval information is not recorded in the control table (Figs. 2, 19 and 20; recording is permitted if the copyright subcode is not presented);
- (f) as in claim 2, the control circuit 33 prohibits the writing of digital audio data recorded on recoding medium 2 with the recording means 18 when the retrieval information is already recorded on the control table (Figs. 2, 19 and 20; recording is not permitted if the copyright subcode is presented);
- (g) as in claim 3, a display means 34 connected to the control circuit (Fig. 2; computer interface includes a display means);
- (h) as in claim 4, when writing the digital audio data reproduced from the recording medium 2 onto the disk drive device 19, the control circuit first compresses the digital audio data

09/692,601 page 8 AU 2653

reproduced from the recording medium 2 and writes the compressed digital audio data onto the disk drive device 19 (Fig. 1; audio file is stored in MPEG1 or MPEG2 format; column 13, lines 7-10);

- (i) as in claim 5, the control table has data showing a write position of the digital audio data in the hard disk drive (TOC data of the audio includes its position); and
- (j) as in claim 6, the control table has character data (TOC data includes titles of the recorded files).

However, Kawamura does not teach the following:

- (a) as in claim 2, the disk drive 19 is a hard disk drive; and
- (b) as in claims 3 and 6, the display means displays information such as writing is prohibited and other text characters.

Jones teaches the following:

(a) a hard disk drive 24 as a recording medium (Fig. 1); and

Takenaka teaches the following:

(a) a display means which displays information indicating that writing is prohibited (Fig. 2; S3, S4 and S5).

A hard disk drive recording medium having an advantage of fast data recording speed is used as a storage device (buffer) for editing multimedia files. Hence, to copy an audio file from a recording medium, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art to use Jones' hard disk drive as Kawamura's data recording medium, because the hard disk drive can store and edit the audio file with high speed.

During the copying operation of the audio file, copy protection might prohibit the file which is being duplicated. Hence, to inform a user the progress of the copying operation, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to sent an error message such as Takenaka's to Kawamura's computer display means, because the displayed information such "copy prohibited" can remind a user the status of the copying operation.

8. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamura et al. (U.S. Patent 6,075,920) in view of Jones (U.S. Patent 5,581,740) and Takenaka (U.S. Patent 5,943,311) and further in view of Inoue (U.S. Patent 6,011,761).

Kawamura in view of Jones and Takenaka teach a recording and/or reproducing apparatus very similar to that of the instant invention. For example, Kawamura in view of Jones and Takenaka further teaches the following:

(a) as in claim 8, the data on the medium is down-loaded from the hard disk drive device 24 and output (Fig. 1 of Jones).

Since the hard disk drive is used as a multimedia editing means, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

09/692,601 page 10 AU 2653

art to output the recorded file stored in the hard drive so that the file can be stored on a removable storage means such as a DVD.

However, Kawamura in view of Jones and Takenaka do not teach the following:

(a) as in claims 7 and 8, along with a display by the display means that writing is prohibited, ejects the recording medium from the drive device.

Inoue teaches a disk ejection operation after displaying an error message (Fig. 21; steps S33 and S48).

When the disk copying operation is improper, the disk should be unloaded in order to stop any further recording step.

Therefore, when Kawamura's audio copying step is prohibited, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to ejected the recording medium similar to Inoue's disk ejection operation, because the ejected recording medium alerts the user that the recording is not allowed.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kawai et al. (6,678,464) is pertinent because Kawai teaches an information recording system having a warning display means during an improper recording operation.

Komma et al. (6,567,358) is pertinent because Komma teaches an information recording system having a warning display means during an improper recording operation.

Yeo (6,452,885) is pertinent because Yeo teaches a copy protected optical disk.

Yoshizawa (6,335,910) is pertinent because Yoshizawa teaches an information recording system having a warning display means during a recording operation.

Tozaki et al. (5,729,516) is pertinent because Tozaki teaches an information recording system having a warning display means during an improper recording operation.

Ishiwata et al. (4,931,927) is pertinent because Ishiwata teaches a method of constructing and recording a list of recorded data recorded onto a disk.

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Or faxed to:

(703) 872-904 (for formal communications intended for entry. Or:

(703) 746-6909, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim CHU whose telephone number is (703) 305-3032 between 9:30 am to 6:00 pm, Monday to Friday.

la 7/13/04

Kim-Kwok CHU Examiner AU2653 July 13, 2004

(703) 305-3032

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600